

Global prevalence of *Trichostrongylus* infections in humans: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Jurairat Jongthawin¹, Kinley Wangdi², Frederick Ramirez Masangkay^{3,4}, Manas Kotepui^{5,*}

¹Faculty of Medicine, Mahasarakham University, Maha Sarakham 44000, Thailand

²HEAL Global Research Center, Health Research Institute, Faculty of Health, University of Canberra, Bruce, ACT 2617, Australia

³Department of Medical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Santo Tomas, Manila 1008, Philippines

⁴Research Center for the Natural and Applied Sciences, University of Santo Tomas, Manila 1008, Philippines

⁵Medical Technology Program, Faculty of Science, Nakhon Phanom University, Nakhon Phanom 48000, Thailand

*Corresponding author

Jurairat Jongthawin: jurairat.j@msu.ac.th

Kinley Wangdi: Kinley.Wangdi@canberra.edu.au

Frederick Ramirez Masangkay: frmasangkay@ust.edu.ph

Manas Kotepui :manaskote@gmail.com, Tel+ :.66954392469

Table S4. The leave-one-out (influential) analysis

Influential analysis (common effect model)

	proportion	95%-CI	p-value	tau ²	tau	I ²
Omitting Adams et al. [20]	0.0098 [0.0092; 0.0104]	3.3819	1.8390	98.6%		
Omitting Ahmadi et al. [21]	0.0095 [0.0090; 0.0101]	3.4965	1.8699	98.6%		
Omitting Akdemir et al. [22]	0.0096 [0.0090; 0.0102]	3.4380	1.8542	98.6%		
Omitting Al Ghwass et al. [23]	0.0094 [0.0089; 0.0100]	3.3634	1.8340	98.6%		
Omitting Ashrafi et al. [24]	0.0095 [0.0090; 0.0101]	3.5398	1.8814	98.6%		
Omitting Beiromvand et al. [25]	0.0096 [0.0090; 0.0101]	3.5486	1.8838	98.6%		
Omitting Chai et al. [26]	0.0096 [0.0091; 0.0102]	3.3678	1.8352	98.6%		
Omitting Chai et al. [27]	0.0095 [0.0090; 0.0101]	3.5567	1.8859	98.6%		
Omitting Chen et al. [28]	0.0104 [0.0098; 0.0110]	3.4201	1.8494	98.5%		
Omitting Dao et al. [29]	0.0095 [0.0090; 0.0101]	3.5544	1.8853	98.6%		
Omitting Daryani et al. [30]	0.0095 [0.0089; 0.0101]	3.5519	1.8847	98.6%		
Omitting Daryani et al. [31]	0.0094 [0.0089; 0.0100]	3.5809	1.8923	98.6%		
Omitting El Shazly et al. [32]	0.0090 [0.0085; 0.0096]	3.5683	1.8890	98.6%		
Omitting Eom et al. [33]	0.0074 [0.0069; 0.0079]	3.5268	1.8780	98.6%		
Omitting Fuseini et al. [54]	0.0095 [0.0090; 0.0101]	3.5526	1.8848	98.6%		
Omitting Gholipoor et al. [34]	0.0094 [0.0088; 0.0099]	3.5665	1.8885	98.6%		
Omitting Gualdieri et al. [35]	0.0095 [0.0090; 0.0101]	3.5676	1.8888	98.6%		
Omitting Guan et al. [36]	0.0098 [0.0092; 0.0104]	3.3223	1.8227	98.6%		
Omitting Hajizadeh et al. [37]	0.0094 [0.0089; 0.0100]	3.5607	1.8870	98.6%		
Omitting Kim et al. [38]	0.0126 [0.0119; 0.0134]	3.4451	1.8561	98.3%		
Omitting Lee et al. [39]	0.0102 [0.0096; 0.0109]	3.2883	1.8134	98.6%		
Omitting Nemati et al. [40]	0.0089 [0.0084; 0.0095]	3.1355	1.7707	98.4%		
Omitting Njobdi et al. [41]	0.0094 [0.0089; 0.0100]	3.5567	1.8859	98.6%		

Omitting Nwalorzie et al. [42]	0.0095 [0.0089; 0.0101]	3.5712 1.8898 98.6%
Omitting Ojurongbe et al. [43]	0.0095 [0.0090; 0.0101]	3.5473 1.8834 98.6%
Omitting Opara et al. [55]	0.0095 [0.0089; 0.0101]	3.5814 1.8925 98.6%
Omitting Pandi et al. [44]	0.0088 [0.0083; 0.0094]	3.0932 1.7588 98.3%
Omitting Phosuk et al. [45]	0.0111 [0.0105; 0.0118]	3.4772 1.8647 98.4%
Omitting Sato et al. [46]	0.0091 [0.0086; 0.0097]	3.3217 1.8225 98.5%
Omitting Shahdoust et al. [47]	0.0096 [0.0090; 0.0102]	3.4174 1.8486 98.6%
Omitting Sharifdini et al. [48]	0.0092 [0.0087; 0.0098]	3.5586 1.8864 98.6%
Omitting Souza et al. [49]	0.0093 [0.0087; 0.0099]	3.5852 1.8935 98.5%
Omitting Squire et al. [50]	0.0094 [0.0089; 0.0100]	3.4527 1.8582 98.6%
Omitting Taiwo et al. [51]	0.0095 [0.0089; 0.0101]	3.5756 1.8909 98.6%
Omitting Vahedi et al. [52]	0.0096 [0.0090; 0.0102]	3.5353 1.8802 98.6%
Omitting Watthanakulpanich et al. [7]	0.0090 [0.0085; 0.0096]	3.2782 1.8106 98.5%
Omitting Woodburn et al. [53]	0.0095 [0.0089; 0.0101]	3.5830 1.8929 98.6%
Pooled estimate	0.0095 [0.0090; 0.0101]	3.4751 1.8642 98.6%